File With		

## **SECTION 131 FORM**

Appeal NO:_ABP_314485-22	Defer Re O/H
Having considered the contents of the submission dated/ece from	ived 31/03/2024
Maread and Gerry Fitzsimons I recommend that section 131 or be not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s):	f the Planning and Development Act, 2000
E.O.: Pat B	Date: 08/04/2024
For further consideration by SEO/SAO	
Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.	
Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply.	
S.E.O.:	Date:
S.A.O:	Date:
M	
Please prepare BP Section 131 notice enclos submission	sing a copy of the attached
o: Task No:	
Allow 2/3/4weeks – BP	
EO:	Date:
AA:	Date:

S. 37

File With	<b>C.</b> C.	

	File With
CORRESPOND	
Appeal No: ABP 314485 - 22	
M	
Please treat correspondence received on 310	os/202੫ as follows:
Please treat correspondence received on 5110	as follows.
1. Update database with new agent for Applicant	
2. Acknowledge with BP 23	1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP
3. Keep copy of Board's Letter	<ul><li>2. Keep Envelope:</li><li>3. Keep Copy of Board's letter</li></ul>
	3. Reep Copy of Board's letter
Amendments/Comments Mairead and Ger	TI Fitzsimons response to SI31
	19 11.24 11.01.2 10 10.130 10 -1 -1
12/03/24:02/04/24	
4. Attach to file	DETURN TO 50.
(a) R/S (d) Screening (h) CIS Bracesing (e) Inapparent	RETURN TO EO
(b) GIS Processing (e) Inspectorate (c) Processing	
(c) 1 10000011g [_]	
	Plans Date Stamped
	Date Stamped Filled in
EO: Pat B	Date: 25/04/2024
Date: 08/04/2024	Date: 25/04/2024



## **Fergal Ryan**

From:

Mairead Fitzsimons <mairead.fitzsimons@gmail.com>

Sent:

31 March 2024 21:31

To:

Appeals2

Subject:

Case Number ABP-314485-22

**Attachments:** 

Letter to ABP March 24 ABP-314485-22.docx

**Caution:** This is an **External Email** and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please find attached our letter containing a submission on Case Number ABP-314485-22.

Kind regards,

Mairead and Gerry Fitzsimons

	n .1 w
	4.1 A

An Bord Pleanála 64 Marlborough St. Dublin 1 D01 V902

RE: Case Number ABP- 314485-22 Relevant Action Application Dublin Airport Planning Authority Reference Number: F20A/0668

Dear Sir/Madam,

Further to your correspondence to us on the above case we wish to make the following observations/submissions:

- 1. We are shocked to see that the noise contours have extended hugely into our community and that a very significant number of dwellings are now included within the noise eligibility contours. Firstly, we note that there was no notice of this fact in any of the planning notices for this application to date. Many of our neighbours who thought they were not affected by this application are now inside these contours but yet were never publicly notified until they attended a public meeting held by St Margarets /The Ward residents' group who explained this to all of us. None of the newspaper or site notices informed the public. Secondly, the people who now know they are within the contours have not been given the opportunity to make a submission/observation as they do not qualify because they did not make a submission previously as they thought they were unaffected. An Bord Pleanála did not give a public notice of this significant additional information. The above is totally unacceptable and unjust to the communities affected.
- 2. We note that the correspondence from Tom Phillips & Associates refers to the ANCA Regulatory Decision regarding eligibility to the noise insulation scheme and suggest that the change in contours is as a result of their assessing that the increased area is as a result of them considering this new area which contains dwellings to having "very significant" effects. We note that the DAA have never carried out significant test criteria within any of the EIAR they have submitted and therefore they have not met with the EIA directive. This is a fundamental flaw in the assessment as the EIA directive is clear, all significant impact on the environment must be identified, quantified and mitigation proposed. That has not happened to date. For areas under the North Runway this involves comparing the scenario with no flights from the North Runway to a scenario where there will be night flights. This has not been done.
- 3. Tom Phillips refers continuously to the regulatory decision by ANCA in his correspondence. However, what is not contained in his correspondence but is within the EIAR relating to these noise contours is that the proposal does NOT meet the Noise Abatement Objective of ANCA in future years. The proposed 2025 Scenario will fail the NAO when compared to 2019 when the total of the existing population, permitted developments and zoned developments are summed together. "2025 exceeds 2019 by 4,541 people (1533 v 6074).

- 4. Why have the noise contours grown? St Margarets The Ward residents carried out noise monitoring on the north runway flight path and found the noise levels to be far beyond those PREDICTED by DAA. DAA's noise predictions are not accurate, are unfounded, and they are trying to obtain permission by manipulating numbers. Why can they not submit actual noise results along the flight path which has been in operation since August 2022? The community could.
- 5. Reference is made to the noise zones on Fingal Development Plan. These noise zones must now be revised due to the proposed flight path over this new area. Fingal County Council consider that there should be no residential development allowed in noise zone A as it is considered harmful to health or otherwise considered unacceptable due to the high levels of aircraft noise. However, the flight path now being operated by DAA is putting many existing residences in Noise Zone A and B which is just not acceptable from a health point of view.
- 6. The noise insulation grant as proposed is not fit for purpose and is totally insufficient to protect for night noise. Measurements of noise in bedrooms of housing already insulated indicate that the noise levels exceeding the recommendation in the Fingal Development Plan are not sufficient to protect human health.
- 7. In summary planning is an afterthought for DAA. Their actions show that they do not respect planning legislation or decisions of An Bord Pleanála. This application must be refused.

Yours Sincerely,

Signed: Mairéad and Gerry Fitzsimons Date: 31st March 2024

Address: 88 The Dunes, Portmarnock, Co Dublin, D13PX32